Hyper-Connectivity of Subcortical Resting-State Networks in Social Anxiety Disorder

Sheeba Arnold Anteraper,¹ Christina Triantafyllou,² Alice T. Sawyer,³ Stefan G. Hofmann,³ John D. Gabrieli,⁴ and Susan Whitfield-Gabrieli⁴

Abstract

Social anxiety disorder-related alterations in basal ganglia regions, such as striatum and globus pallidus, though evident from metabolic imaging, remain to be explored using seed-based resting-state functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging. Capitalizing on the enhanced sensitivity of a multichannel array coil, we collected high-resolution (2-mm isotropic) data from medication-naive patients and healthy control participants. Subcortical resting-state networks from structures including the striatum (caudate and putamen), globus pallidus, thalamus, amygdala, and periaqueductal gray were compared between the two groups. When compared with controls, the caudate seed revealed significantly higher functional connectivity (hyper-connectivity) in the patient group in medial frontal, prefrontal (anterior and dorsolateral), orbito-frontal, and anterior cingulate cortices, which are regions that are typically associated with emotional processing. In addition, with the putamen seed, the patient data exhibited increased connectivity in the fronto-parietal regions (executive control network) and subgenual cingulate (affective network). The globus pallidus seed showed significant increases in connectivity in the patient group, primarily in the precuneus, which is part of the default mode network. Significant hyperconnectivity in the precuneus, interior temporal, and parahippocampal cortices was also observed with the thalamus seed in the patient population, when compared with controls. With amygdala as seed region, between-group differences were primarily in supplementary motor area, inferior temporal gyrus, secondary visual cortex, angular gyrus, and cingulate gyrus. Seed from periaqueductal gray resulted in hyper-connectivity in the patient group, when compared with controls, in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, precuneus, middle temporal gyrus, and inferior parietal lobule. In all the subcortical regions examined in this study, the control group did not have any significant enhancements in functional connectivity when compared with the patient group.

Key words: 32-Channel coil; functional connectivity; resting-state networks; social anxiety disorder

Introduction

S OCIAL ANXIETY DISORDER (SAD), also known as social phobia, is characterized by a fear of negative evaluation and scrutiny by others (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and is one of the most common psychiatric disorders with a lifetime prevalence rate of 6.8% (Kessler et al., 2005). However, despite its high occurrence rate and associated social and economic burden, the neurobiology of the disorder remains poorly understood. In recent years, there has been increased interest in elucidating the pathophysiol-

ogy and neuronal mechanisms underlying SAD, particularly through the use of resting-state functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging (fcMRI) (Biswal et al., 1995). Of the existing fcMRI studies that involve SAD populations, a few studies (Ding et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2010a, 2010b, 2011; Qiu et al., 2011) used identical acquisition parameters including low-resolution $(3.75 \times 3.75 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3)$ voxels. Of the remaining studies, one had very limited coil sensitivity to detect blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) signal from subcortical regions (Pannekoek et al., 2012), and two had limited head coverage and/or low resolution (Hahn

¹A.A. Martinos Imaging Center, McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

²Department of Radiology, A.A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts.

³Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts.

⁴Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

et al., 2011; Prater et al., 2013). Since physiological noise, a major confound in fcMRI, dominates at low resolution (Triantafyllou et al., 2005), high-resolution imaging is desirable in this context. BOLD contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) benefits directly from time-series signal-to-noise ratio (tSNR) gains, and our previous work has demonstrated that the higher sensitivity offered by multichannel arrays, such as 32-Channel (32Ch) coil, would translate to improved detection of restingstate networks in healthy adults (Anteraper et al., 2013).

None of the seed-based fcMRI studies published to-date in SAD populations have examined resting-state networks with seeds in basal ganglia regions, such as the striatum and globus pallidus. It may be important to use fcMRI to probe BOLD signal that originates from these regions, especially considering that a recent functional MRI (fMRI) meta-analysis confirmed the link between the basal ganglia and emotion (Arsalidou et al., 2013) in healthy controls (HCs) and highlighted the involvement of the striatum and globus pallidus in processing emotion. More recently, task-based fMRI studies have associated atypical striatal activation to anxiety (Perez-Edgar et al., 2013). Additionally, the globus pallidus has been linked to anxiety disorders based on lesion studies (Lauterbach et al., 1994) and emotional processing (Lorberbaum et al., 2004) based on reports from positron emission tomography (PET). Further, PET studies have reported cerebral blood flow (CBF) changes, specific to the striatum, during anticipatory anxiety to electrical shock (Hasler et al., 2007).

Most of the published resting-state fcMRI studies on SAD have investigated alterations in the default mode network (DMN). However, specific regions of thalamus although considered to be part of the DMN (Zhang and Raichle, 2010) are yet to be fully evaluated in the context of seed-based fcMRI evaluations. Of these subcortical regions, anterior nucleus of thalamus is considered to be one of the principal contributors to a well-accepted collection of pathways associated with emotion processing, the disruption of which could manifest as alterations in the DMN (Jones et al., 2011). Another focus of seed selection for earlier studies has been the amygdala, an area that has previously shown disorder-specific hyperactivity in SAD populations (Phan et al., 2006). The amygdala has distinct subdivisions (laterobasal amygdala, centromedial amygdale, and superficial amygdala) and therefore representative connectivity patterns are revealed by fMRI (Roy et al., 2009). Nonetheless, this region is typically treated as functionally homogenous when it comes to seed-based selection. Previous studies in generalized anxiety disorder have flagged centromedial amygdala with increased gray matter volumes (Etkin et al., 2009). Further, of the amygdalar subdivisions, only centromedial amygdala receives input specifically from mid-brain regions, such as periaqueductal gray [as reviewed in (Davis, 1997)]. The latter region with its reciprocal connections with centromedial amygdala facilitates emotion processing (Wager et al., 2009) and is yet another region that remains to be investigated with seed-based fcMRI in SAD.

Based on the above, our hypothesis was that resting-state functional connectivity abnormalities are possible in the basal ganglia, thalamus, amygdala, and periaqueductal gray in SAD populations. Detecting such alterations may be potentiated by technological improvements offered by parallel array coils (e.g., 32Ch head coil) that boost the tSNR in fMRI, especially in the high-resolution domain (Triantafyllou et al., 2011). To this end, we explored resting-state networks in a medication-naive SAD population when compared with HCs in subcortical brain regions associated with emotional processing.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Seventeen medication-naive SAD patients (24.7 ± 6.3) years, eight men, all right-handed) and 17 age, gender, and handedness-matched HCs (25 ± 7.5) years) participated in the study. The mean Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) (Liebowitz, 1987) score for the SAD group was 77.9±14.1. Four patients had co-morbid depression and four had a co-morbid anxiety disorder. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants for an experimental protocol approved by the MIT institutional review board.

SAD patients were recruited from a local anxiety treatment center and through advertisements in the community. To be eligible, SAD patients needed to have a DSM-IV diagnosis of SAD, generalized subtype, and a total LSAS score of ≥ 60 . Additionally, patients were excluded for the following reasons: current suicidal or homicidal ideation, history of (or current) psychosis, or current diagnosis of alcohol or substance dependence (excluding nicotine). None of the patients were receiving pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy at the time of the study. HCs were recruited from the general community by advertisement and were screened for current and lifetime psychopathology using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID) (First et al., 1995). To be eligible, they must have had no current or lifetime diagnosis of a psychiatric illness.

Data acquisition

Data acquisition was performed on a Siemens 3T scanner, MAGNETOM Trio, a Tim System (Siemens AG, Healthcare Sector, Erlangen, Germany), using a commercially available radio frequency (RF) receive-only 32Ch brain array head coil (Siemens AG, Healthcare Sector). The body coil was used for RF transmission. Extra padding with foam cushions was used for head immobilization. During the task, all subjects were asked to relax in the scanner with their eyes open and fixate on a cross hair, displayed centrally on the screen.

Single-shot gradient echo planar imaging (EPI) was used to acquire whole-head data, prescribed along anterior commissure–posterior commissure (AC-PC) plane with A>P phase encode direction. The scan duration was 6 min and 24 sec (62 time points, two "dummy" scans). The scan parameters used for TR/TE/flip angle/voxel size were 6000 ms/30 ms/ $90^{\circ}/2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$. The TR was chosen to be 6 sec in this study in order to do whole-brain coverage at high resolution of 2-mm isotropic voxel size with 67 slices. Image reconstruction was carried out using the vendor-provided Sum-of-Squares algorithm. In addition, high-resolution structural scan was acquired using 3D MP-RAGE (magnetization-prepared rapidacquisition gradient-echo) sequence. The scan parameters used for TR/TE/TI/flip angle/voxel size were 2530 ms/ 3.39 ms/1100 ms/7°/1.3 × 1 × 1.3 mm³.

Data analysis

SPM8 (Friston, 2007) was employed for preprocessing the resting-state fMRI time-series and structural scans. The steps



FIG. 1. Mid-brain regions of interest that were chosen as sources to detect subcortical resting-state networks.

on EPI data included motion correction and slice-time correction, normalization with respect to the EPI template (sampling size was matched to the native [two-isotropic] resolution) provided by SPM, and 3-mm Gaussian smoothing. Structural scan was normalized with respect to SPM's T_1 template. Finally, image segmentation (Ashburner and Friston, 2005) was carried out on the T1-weighted images to yield gray matter, white matter (WM), and CSF masks in normalized space.

First-level connectivity analyses

Functional connectivity analysis was performed using MATLAB-based (MathWorks, Natick, MA) custom software package CONN (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto Castanon, 2012). Sources for seed-based analysis were defined as multiple seeds corresponding to the predefined seed regions for (1) striatum (caudate, and L and R putamen), (2) globus pallidus (medial and lateral for internal and external segments, respectively), (3) thalamus, (4) centromedial amygdala, and (5) periaqueductal gray. All seeds were independent of our data and were generated using WFU_PickAtlas (Maldjian et al., 2003, 2004). Seed for thalamus (0, -12,9) was chosen to be 10-mm spheres centered on previously published foci (Zhang and Raichle, 2010). Centromedial amygdala was chosen from SPM Anatomy toolbox (Eickhoff et al., 2005). Seed for periaqueductal gray was chosen to be 5-mm sphere centered on (1, -29, -11), based upon previous review (Linnman et al., 2012). Mid-brain sources (seeds) are depicted in Figure 1. The signals (time-series) from all the different sources were included as regions of interest in one regression analysis.

Seed time-series were band-pass filtered (0.008 < f <0.09 Hz) and non-neuronal contributions from WM and CSF were considered as noise, the principal components of which were estimated and removed using anatomicalcomponent-based noise correction method (aCompCor) (Behzadi et al., 2007). The optimal configuration of the aCompCor approach as applied in the CONN toolbox was followed (Chai et al., 2012). In-house custom software (nitrc .org/projects/artifact_detect/) was used for detecting motion outliers, which were then included as nuisance regressors along with the seven realignment (three translation, three rotation, and one composite motion) parameters. At the scan-to-scan motion threshold used in this study (0.5 mm translation and 0.5 degree rotation), there were 20 outliers in the SAD group and 13 in the HC group. There were no significant differences (p=0.45) in the number of outliers between the SAD and HC groups with mean values 1.17 ± 0.47 and 0.77 ± 0.34 , respectively.

Correlation maps were produced by extracting the residual BOLD time-course from the sources, followed by generating Pearson's correlation coefficients between the source timecourse and the time-courses of all other voxels in the brain. Correlation coefficients were converted to normally distributed scores using Fisher's r-to-z transform in order to carry out second-level General Linear Model analyses. Images from the first-level results (correlation maps and z-maps) provided the seed-to-voxel connectivity maps for each selected source for each subject and for each rest condition (one per subject/rest condition/source combination).

Second-level connectivity analyses

Within- and between-group analysis of data sets from the SAD and HC groups was performed as second-level analyses. For within-group comparisons, whole-brain false discovery rate (FDR)–corrected threshold of p < 0.05 ($p_{FDR-corr} < 0.05$) was used to identify areas of significant functional connectivity. For between-group comparisons, statistical analysis was performed using a cluster-defining voxel-wise height threshold of p < 0.05 (uncorrected), and only the clusters with an



FIG. 2. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the caudate seed (second-level analysis, n = 17 per group). Withingroup height threshold is whole-brain $p_{FDR-corr} < 0.05$ (**A**). SAD > HC reveals hyper-connectivity in medial frontal gyrus (MFG), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and left middle temporal gyrus (MTG) (**B**, blue arrows). Between-group height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. HC > SAD contrast is not significant. FDR, false discovery rate; FWE, family-wise error; HCs, healthy controls; SAD, social anxiety disorder.



FIG. 3. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the L and R putamen seeds (second-level analysis, n = 17 per group). Within-group height threshold is whole-brain $p_{FDR-corr} < 0.05$ (**A**, **B**). SAD>HC reveals hyper-connectivity in the bilateral supramarginal gyrus, rectal gyrus, premotor cortex, and ventral/subgenual ACC (**C**, blue arrows). Between-group height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. HC>SAD contrast is not significant.

extent threshold of whole-brain family-wise error (FWE)– corrected p < 0.05 ($p_{FWE-corr} < 0.05$) were reported as statistically significant.

Finally, as an exploratory analysis, we investigated the relationship between LSAS score and the connectivity measures from all the seeds that were explored in this study.

Results

Within-group results for the SAD and HC groups, with the caudate as the seed region, are shown in Figure 2A. Positive correlations in the medial frontal gyrus (MFG), the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) extending to the subgenual cortex, and the orbito-frontal cortex (OFC) were enhanced in the SAD group. Between-group comparisons revealed significant hyper-connectivity (Fig. 2B), specifically in the MFG, including the superior frontal gyrus (BA 8), the dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (BA 9), the middle frontal gyrus (BA 10), the orbital gyrus (BA 11), the subcallosal gyrus (BA 25), the ACC (BA 32), and the left temporal cortex [specifically, middle temporal gyrus (MTG) (BA 21) and inferior temporal gyrus (ITG) (BA 20)].

Similarly, for the L and R putamen seeds (Fig. 3A), withingroup comparisons revealed positive correlations in the fronto-parietal regions within the SAD group. In addition, connectivity with ITG and the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) was absent within the control group (Fig. 3B). Connectivity was significantly enhanced in the SAD>HC comparison (Fig. 3C) in the bilateral supramarginal gyrus (BA 40), the rectal gyrus (BA 11), the premotor cortex (BA 6), and the ventral/subgenual ACC (BA 24/25), indicating interruptions in striatal function.

A network of regions consisting of the MFG, DLPFC, ACC, and temporopolar area (BA 38) was revealed in the SAD group when the globus pallidus was used as a seed (Fig. 4A). For the SAD > HC contrast (Fig. 4B), statistically significant hyper-connectivity was observed in the precuneus (BA 31), signifying the possible role of mid-brain regions as contributors to the DMN.

Figure 5A shows group-level results for the thalamus seed for the SAD and HC groups. Similar to the previously mentioned seed regions, positive correlations in the posterior cingulate cortex, and BAs 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 24, 32, and 40 were revealed in the SAD group. Connectivity with primary, secondary, and associative visual cortices (BAs 17, 18, and 19, respectively) was present only within the SAD group. Parts of the DMN, such as the precuneus, bilateral ITG extending to the left and right PHG, and parts of the fronto-parietal network involving superior parietal and anterior prefrontal regions, were significantly pronounced for the SAD > HC comparison (Fig. 5B). This finding emphasizes the role of the thalamo-cortical connectivity in SAD.

Figure 6 shows the functional connectivity correlations maps generated at the second level for the centromedial



FIG. 4. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the internal and external segments of globus pallidus (second-level analysis, n = 17 per group). Within-group height threshold is whole-brain $p_{FDR-corr} < 0.05$ (**A**). SAD > HC reveals hyper-connectivity in the L and R precuneus (**B**, blue arrows). Between-group height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. HC > SAD contrast is not significant.



FIG. 5. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the thalamus seed (second-level analysis, n=17 per group). Withingroup height threshold is whole-brain $p_{FDR-corr} < 0.05$ (**A**). SAD>HC reveals hyper-connectivity in the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) and inferior temporal gyri (ITG) (**B**, blue arrows). Between-group height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. HC>SAD contrast is not significant.

amygdala as seed region. Statistically significant betweengroup differences were reveled as hyper-connectivity in the supplementary motor area, ITG, secondary visual cortex, angular gyrus, and cingulate gyrus.

With the periaqueductal gray as seed region, hyperconnectivity in the SAD group was revealed in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, precuneus, MTG, and inferior parietal lobule (Fig. 7).

Our exploratory analysis revealed a positive correlation of LSAS score with functional connectivity of caudate head. The positively correlated regions with LSAS scores include Brodmann areas 7, 18, and 32. Figure 8 shows the linear relationship between LSAS score and the functional connectivity measures (z-value) from ACC with the caudate seed.

For all the regions/seeds explored in this study, the HC > SAD contrast was not significant. In addition, we verified that the hyper-connectivity revealed in the SAD > HC contrast

was not driven by anticorrelations in controls. Betweengroup results are summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

In this study, we explored subcortical resting-state fcMRI in an SAD population. By probing the striatum (caudate and putamen), globus pallidus, thalamus, amygdala, and periaqueductal gray, our study provides an important contribution to the literature and may prove useful for developing and improving treatment strategies. Unlike most of the published fcMRI studies on SAD, we employed a drug-naive sample in the current study because of the known influence of pharmacotherapy (Warwick et al., 2012).

Although there is little debate on the role of subcortical regions in the pathophysiology of SAD, functional connectivity alterations with these regions as seeds have remained either unexplored or inconclusive in previously published resting-state



FIG. 6. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the centromedial amygdala seed (second-level analysis, n=17 per group). SAD>HC reveals hyper-connectivity in supplementary motor area (SMA), ITG, secondary visual cortex (SVC), angular gyrus, and cingulate gyrus (blue arrows). Height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. No brain regions were significantly different in the reverse contrast (HC>SAD).



FIG. 7. Statistical functional connectivity maps for the periaqueductal gray seed (second-level analysis, n=17 per group). SAD > HC reveals hyper-connectivity in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), precuneus, cerebellum, left MTG, and inferior parietal lobule (IPL) (blue arrows). Height threshold is p < 0.05, cluster-level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$. No brain regions were significantly different in the reverse contrast (HC > SAD).



FIG. 8. Linear relationship between LSAS and positive functional connectivity measures from the ACC with the caudate seed. LSAS, Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale.

fcMRI studies. Analyses of the caudate seed in the current study revealed significantly higher functional connectivity between temporal and frontal regions, such as the orbital, medial, inferior, and ACC, in the SAD>HC comparison. Of these, ACC is particularly interesting because this was one of the regions that exhibited positive correlation with LSAS score when the caudate was chosen as seed region. This could be indicative of abnormalities in frontal-subcortical circuits associated with SAD, as previously shown when using a frontal medial seed in exploring task-based functional connectivity (Gimenez et al., 2012). Moreover, task-based hyperactivity in frontolimbic regions has been previously reported in the context of SAD (Veit et al., 2002), which could be indicative of the abnormalities associated with the underlying pathology. Alterations in the fronto-parietal regions were also observed with L and R putamen seeds in the current study. These findings could help explain some of the deficits in the Executive Control Network in the resting state (Seeley et al., 2007) in SAD populations as previously observed (Liao et al., 2010a). Our study also revealed hyper-connectivity in the ventral/subcallosal ACC with the putamen seeds. Hyperactivity in this region has been attributed to social anxiety from task-based fMRI studies (Ball et al., 2012). The subcallosal ACC has also been classified as part of the "Affective Network" in previous studies (Sheline et al., 2010). Taken together, the hyper-connectivity of cingulate gyrus with the caudate and putamen seeds, as demonstrated in this work, could be indicative of disturbances in striatal function specific to SAD. This is consistent with previous reports from nuclear imaging (van der Wee et al., 2008). Enhanced connectivity in premotor regions suggests that SAD patients are in a state of "motor readiness," either due to abnormal input to the striatum (from amygdala or mid-brain dopaminergic neurons) as proposed as a testable model for anxiety disorders by Marchand (2010). Enhanced functional connectivity with striatum and regions of the OFC with SAD is equally interesting because of recent reports from task-based fMRI, highlighting the role of OFC in neural habituation in SAD (Sladky et al., 2012).

Smaller structures such as the globus pallidus are typically excluded from fcMRI evaluations of mid-brain regions because of inadequate coil sensitivity and low-resolution acquisition (Di Martino et al., 2008). Our decision to include the globus pallidus in this study stems from our previous fcMRI study that demonstrates the benefits of using multichannel arrays in the high-resolution regime for investigating mid-brain regions (Anteraper et al., 2013). The globus pallidus has been classified in a recent meta-analysis (Hattingh et al., 2012) as one of the regions (along with amygdala, entorhinal cortex, ITG, ACC, and postcentral gyrus) that is significant in the SAD>HC comparison for task-based fMRI involving emotional stimuli. We found hyper-connectivity of the globus pallidus and the precuneus for the first time in the SAD domain with seed-based resting-state fcMRI. Interestingly, previous studies have reported connectivity between these two regions with effective connectivity measures (Marchand et al., 2007). PET studies that involve deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus in Huntington disease have reported decreased regional CBF in the precuneus (Ligot et al., 2011). Precuneus is considered to be part of the self-referential network, the alterations of which have been previously explored in the realm of task-based fMRI in SAD, particularly for the evaluation of mindfulnessbased intervention programs in unmedicated patients (Goldin et al., 2012).

Increased activity of the thalamus is one of the most consistent findings in neuroimaging studies of SAD populations (Freitas-Ferrari et al., 2010). Gimenez and colleagues (2012) have reported enhanced functional connectivity between thalamus and ACC in the SAD group, but had tSNR limitations (1.5 T and 8Ch coil) and did not use a formal restingstate paradigm ("rest" blocks were combined from fMRI block design). In addition to ACC, our study revealed stronger positive correlations with the thalamus seed and premotor, frontal, dorsolateral prefrontal, insular, and parietal cortices within SAD group. Significant enhancements in functional connectivity in the SAD>HC contrast were also noted for several thalamo-cortical regions, including the precuneus, ITG, and PHG, which are part of the DMN. Increased cortical thickness in the ITG has been associated with SAD in recent reports based on structural MRI studies [e.g., (Frick et al., 2013)]. Additionally, significantly

SUBCORTICAL FCMRI IN SOCIAL ANXIETY DISORDER

TABLE 1. POSITIVELY CORRELATED BRAIN REGIONS FOR SOCIAL ANXIETY DISORDER > HEALTHY CONTROL CONTRAST
(SECOND-LEVEL GROUP ANALYSIS, n = 17 per Group, Cluster-Level $p_{FWE-cor} < 0.05$) for the Subcortical
Regions Explored in This Study Are Given Below

Striatum/caudate Medial frontal/rectal gyrus BA 8/9/10/11 $-244-8$ 1576 5.35 ACC BA 32 0 48 10 5.35 5.35 Left temporal lobe BA 38 $-4212-32$ 772 4.37 Left temporal lobe BA 38 $-4212-32$ 772 4.37 Left temporal lobe BA 11 638 -20 433 4.50 Striatum/putamen Titatum/putamen Titatum/putamen 772 4.37 Rectal gyrus BA 40 48 -42 36 448 5.13 Premotor cortex BA 6 -262060 2090 4.47 Left supramarginal gyrus BA 40 $-48-4438$ 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACC BA 24/25 -4234 582 4.28 Globus pallidus Precuneus BA 31 $8-5840$ 470 3.89 Thalamus Precuneus BA 40 $14-7064$ 1598 5.12 Precuneus BA 36 $-30-16-28$ 1520 4.88 Left PHG BA 36 $22 - 62 - 6014$ 1598 5.12	Brain region	Brodmann area	Peak cluster	Voxels per cluster	T_{max}
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Striatum/caudate				
ACC BA 32 0 48 10 Left temporal lobe BA 38 -42 12 -32 772 4.37 Left MTG BA 21 $-54 - 4 - 26$ 4.37 4.37 Striatum/putamen Right supramarginal gyrus BA 40 48 -42 36 448 5.13 Rectal gyrus BA 40 $-48 - 44$ 38 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACC BA 24/25 -42 34 582 4.28 Globus pallidus Precuncus BA 31 $8 - 58$ 40 470 3.89 Thalamus Protextreeus BA 30 $-16 - 40$ 4 5433 5.43 Left TFG BA 32 $-62 - 60$ 14 1598 5.12 Precuncus BA 31 $8 - 52$ 50 1520 4.88 Left TFG BA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 4.85 Right PHG BA 36 28 - 16 - 32 974 4.85 Right PHG BA 36 28 - 16 - 32 974 4.85 Right PHG BA 36 38 - 48 28 15	Medial frontal/rectal gyrus	BA 8/9/10/11	-2 44 -8	1576	5.35
Left temporal lobeBA 38 $-42 12 - 32$ $7/2$ 4.37 Left MTGBA 21 $-54 - 4 - 26$ Striatum/putamenRight supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $48 - 42 36$ 448 5.13 Rectal gyrusBA 11 $638 - 20$ 433 450 Premotor cortexBA 6 $-26 20 60$ 2090 4.47 Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-48 - 44 38$ 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 $-42 34$ 582 4.28 Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 58 40$ 470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16 - 40 4$ 5433 5.43 Posterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16 - 40 4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62 - 60 14$ 70 3.89 ThalamusPrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 52 50$ 8.20 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 20 $-60 - 64 - 24$ 634 502 Associative visual cortexBA 49 $48 - 24 - 28$ 1099 4.49 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 709 4.49 Centromedial amygdala	ACC	BA 32	0 48 10		4.05
Left M1GBA 21 $-34 - 4 - 26$ Striatum/putamen Right supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $48 - 42 36$ 448 5.13 Rectal gyrusBA 11 $6 38 - 20$ 433 4.50 Premotor cortexBA 6 $-26 20 60$ 2090 4.47 Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-48 - 44 38$ 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 $-4 2 34$ 582 4.28 Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 $8 -58 40$ 470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16 -40 4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62 -60 14$ EERight superior parietal cortexBA 31 $8 -52 50$ 5250 120 Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 4.88 Left TGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ 64 85 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ Right IPL $8A 20$ $60 - 44 - 16$ Supplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 Right STGBA 20 $60 - 44 - 24$ 634 5.92 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right negray motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 Ieft ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.92 Astociative visu	Left temporal lobe	BA 38	$-42\ 12\ -32$	772	4.37
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Left MIG	BA 21	-54 - 4 - 20		
Right supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $48 - 42.36$ 448 5.13 Rectal gyrusBA 11 $6.38 - 20$ 433 4.50 Premotor cortexBA 6 $-26.20.60$ 2090 4.47 Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-48 - 44.38$ 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 $-4.2.34$ 582 4.28 Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 58.40$ 470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16 - 40.4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62 - 60.14$ 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 52.60$ $8 - 52.00$ 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right Inferior frontal gyrusBA 46 $48.24 - 8$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ 44 44 Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26.54$ 644 5.91 Left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28.42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14.50$ 427	Striatum/putamen		10 10 07		
Rectal gyrusBA 11 $6\ 38\ -20$ $4\ 33$ $4\ 30$ Premotor cortexBA 6 $-2\ 20\ 60$ $20\ 90$ $4\ 47$ Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-4\ 8\ -4\ 4\ 38$ $11\ 89$ $4\ 43$ Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 $-4\ 2\ 34$ $5\ 82$ $4\ 28$ Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 $8\ -5\ 8\ 40$ $4\ 70$ $3\ 89$ PrecuneusBA 31 $8\ -5\ 8\ 40$ $4\ 70$ $3\ 89$ ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-1\ 6\ -4\ 0\ 4$ $5\ 43\ 3$ $5\ 43$ Left STGBA 22 $-6\ 2\ -6\ 0\ 14$ Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14\ -7\ 0\ 64$ $15\ 98$ $5\ 12$ PrecuneusBA 36 $-3\ 0\ -1\ 6\ -2\ 8$ $15\ 20$ $4\ 88$ $4\ 8\ 12\ 98$ $4\ 8\ 12\ 98$ $4\ 8\ 12\ 98$ Left STGBA 36 $2\ 8\ -1\ 6\ -3\ 2$ $9\ 7\ 4$ $4\ 85\ 12\ 97\ 4$ $4\ 85\ 12\ 97\ 4$ $4\ 85\ 12\ 97\ 4$ Right PHGBA 36 $2\ 8\ -1\ 6\ -3\ 2$ $9\ 7\ 4$ $4\ 85\ 7\ 10\ 4\ 85\ 7\ 10\ 4\ 85\ 7\ 10\ 4\ 85\ 11\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10\ 10$	Right supramarginal gyrus	BA 40	48 - 42 36	448	5.13
Premotor cortexBA 6 $-26\ 20\ 60$ $20\ 90$ 4.47 Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-48\ -44\ 38$ 1189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 $-4\ 2\ 34$ 582 4.28 Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 $8\ -58\ 40$ 470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16\ -40\ 4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62\ -60\ 14$ 5433 5.43 Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14\ -70\ 64$ 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 36 $-30\ -16\ -28$ 1520 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $-30\ -16\ -28$ 1520 4.88 Left ITGBA 20 $-60\ -44\ -16$ $-730\ 4.55$ Right PHGBA 36 $34\ -4\ -18$ $730\ 4.55$ Right PHGBA 40 $38\ -48\ 28$ $-84\ 20\ -88\ 20\ -88\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ 28\ $	Rectal gyrus	BA 11	6 38 -20	433	4.50
Left supramarginal gyrusBA 40 $-48 - 44$ 381189 4.43 Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 -42 34582 4.28 Globus pallidusPrecuneusBA 31 8 -58 40470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 -16 -40 4 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 -62 -60 14Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 14 -70 64 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 31 8 -52 5012 27 4.85 1520 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 -30 -16 -28 1520 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 28 -16 -32 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 34 -4 -18 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 40 38 -48 28 816 16 -26 54 644 Right PHGBA 46 48 24 -8 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 48 -4 44 26 Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 16 -26 54 644 5.91 Left ITGBA 29 22 -66 -14 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 46 -28 42 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31 20 -62 22 908 4.24 Cerebellum- 14 -58 -34 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 -56 -32 -2 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Premotor cortex	BA 6	$-26\ 20\ 60$	2090	4.47
Ventral ACC/subgenual ACCBA 24/25 -4234 582 4.28 Globus pallidus PrecuneusBA 31 $8-5840$ 470 3.89 Thalamus Posterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16-404$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62-6014$ 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 31 $8-5250$ 120 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $-30-16-28$ 1520 4.88 Left TGBA 20 $-60-44-16$ 1598 5.12 Right PHGBA 36 $28-16-32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $34-4-18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38-4828$ 1099 4.49 Right IPLBA 46 $4824-8$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48-44$ 5.62 485 Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16-2654$ 644 5.91 Left ITGBA 29 $46-2842$ 441 3.96 4.27 3.72 Percuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10-1450$ 427 3.72 Percuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31 $20-6222$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum $-14-58-34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56-32-2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46-4040$ 429 3.24	Left supramarginal gyrus	BA 40	-48 -44 38	1189	4.43
	Ventral ACC/subgenual ACC	BA 24/25	-4234	582	4.28
PrecuneusBA 31 $8-58\ 40$ 470 3.89 ThalamusPosterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16\ -40\ 4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62\ -60\ 14$ 5433 5.43 Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14\ -70\ 64$ 1598 5.12 PrecuncusBA 31 $8\ -52\ 50$ $1520\ 4.88$ Left PHGBA 36 $-30\ -16\ -28$ $1520\ 4.88$ Left TTGBA 20 $-60\ -44\ -16$ 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $34\ -4\ -18$ $730\ 4.55$ Right PHGBA 36 $34\ -4\ -18$ $730\ 4.55$ Right IPLBA 40 $38\ -48\ 28$ $8.44\ 4.56\ 22\ 4.41\ 4.56\ 4.44\ 4.56\ 4.44\ 4.56\ 4.44\ 4.4$	Globus pallidus				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Precuneus	BA 31	8 - 58 40	470	3.89
Posterior cingulate cortexBA 30 $-16 - 40 4$ 5433 5.43 Left STGBA 22 $-62 - 60 14$ 1470 641598 5.12 Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14 - 70 64$ 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 52 50$ 204.88Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 15204.88Left TGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ 704.85Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 9744.85Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 7304.55Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 7304.55Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 44 28$ 7304.55Right STGBA 2248 - 447304.55Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 6445.91Supplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 6445.62Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 8854.47Right angular gyrusBA 30 $46 - 28 42$ 4413.96Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 4273.72Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum $$ $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 20 $-66 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 4$	Thalamus				
Left STGBA 22 $-62 - 60 14$ Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14 - 70 64$ 1598 PrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 52 50$ 1520Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 Left PHGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 Right PHGBA 36 $24 - 4 - 18$ 730 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ BA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.27 3.72Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24Cerebellum $$ $-14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 40 <t< td=""><td>Posterior cingulate cortex</td><td>BA 30</td><td>-16 - 40 4</td><td>5433</td><td>5.43</td></t<>	Posterior cingulate cortex	BA 30	-16 - 40 4	5433	5.43
Right superior parietal cortexBA 40 $14 - 70 \ 64$ 1598 5.12 PrecuneusBA 31 $8 - 52 \ 50$ $8 - 32 \ 50$ $16 - 28$ 1520 4.88 Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 4.88 Left ITGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ $-16 \ -82 \ -34$ $-30 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right MTGBA 21 $52 \ 2 - 34$ $-30 \ -36 \ -32 \ -34$ $-30 \ -36 \ -32 \ -34$ Right PHGBA 40 $38 - 48 \ 28$ $-38 \ -48 \ 28$ $-38 \ -48 \ 28$ $-38 \ -48 \ 28$ Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 \ 28$ $-88 \ -44$ $-88 \ -34 \ -88 \ -36 \ -36 \ -32 \ -28 \ -36 \$	Left STG	BA 22	-62 - 60 14		
PrecuneusBA 31 $8 -52 50$ Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 1520 4.88 Left ITGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right PHGBA 36 $22 - 34$ Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ $48 - 44$ Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 Supplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Pericuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum $ 14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Right superior parietal cortex	BA 40	14 -70 64	1598	5.12
Left PHGBA 36 $-30 - 16 - 28$ 15204.88Left ITGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ 7304.85Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 9744.85Right MTGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 7304.55Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 7304.55Right STGBA 2248 - 4 47304.59Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 616 - 26 54644Supplementary motor areaBA 2060 - 46 - 246345.62left ITGBA 2060 - 46 - 246345.62Right angular gyrusBA 3946 - 28 424413.96Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 4273.72Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 94 48 3854294.41PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 9084.24Cerebellum—14 - 58 - 347254.15Left MTGBA 20 $-66 - 32 - 2$ 5064.03Left MTGBA 40 $-66 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Precuneus	BA 31	8 -52 50		
Left ITGBA 20 $-60 - 44 - 16$ Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right MTGBA 21 $52 2 - 34$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 86 $86 24 - 8$ 1099 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 4 4$ $86 - 44$ $86 - 44$ $86 - 44$ Centromedial amygdala $8A 20$ $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Supplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal gray $-66 - 222$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum -1 $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Left PHG	BA 36	-30 - 16 - 28	1520	4.88
Right PHGBA 36 $28 - 16 - 32$ 974 4.85 Right MTGBA 21 $52 2 - 34$ 730 4.55 Right PHGBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ 1099 4.49 Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal gray $D_{0} - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum $ 14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Left ITG	BA 20	-60 - 44 - 16		
Right MTGBA 21 $52\ 2\ -34$ Right PHGBA 36 $34\ -4\ -18$ 730 4.55 Right IPLBA 40 $38\ -48\ 28$ $Right$ inferior frontal gyrusBA 46 $48\ 24\ -8$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48\ -4\ 4$ $Centromedial$ amygdala $Right$ STG $BA\ 22$ $48\ -4\ 4$ $Centromedial$ amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16\ -26\ 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60\ -46\ -24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22\ -66\ -14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46\ -28\ 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10\ -14\ 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal gray $Cerebellum$ $ 14\ -58\ -34$ $725\ 4.15$ Left MTGBA 21 $-56\ -32\ -2$ $506\ 4.03$ Right IPLBA 40 $46\ -40\ 40$ 429 3.24	Right PHG	BA 36	28 - 16 - 32	974	4.85
Right PHG Right IPLBA 36 $34 - 4 - 18$ 730 4.55 Right IPL Right inferior frontal gyrusBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ $48 - 44$ $48 - 44$ Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 20 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Right MTG	BA 21	52 2 - 34		
Right IPLBA 40 $38 - 48 28$ Right inferior frontal gyrusBA 46 $48 24 - 8$ 1099 4.49 Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ $24 - 44$ $24 - 44$ Centromedial amygdalaCentromedial amygdala $39 - 46 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA $31/BA 24$ $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 20 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Right PHG	BA 36	34 - 4 - 18	730	4.55
Right inferior frontal gyrus Right STGBA 46 BA 22 $48 \ 24 \ -8$ $48 \ -4 \ 4$ 1099 4.49 Centromedial amygdala Supplementary motor areaBA 6 BA 20 $16 \ -26 \ 54$ 644 5.91 634 left ITG Right angular gyrusBA 20 BA 39 $60 \ -46 \ -24$ 634 5.62 485 Associative visual cortex Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 39 BA 31/BA 24 $46 \ -28 \ 42$ 441 3.96 427 Periaqueductal gray Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex CerebellumBA 9 $$ $4 \ 48 \ 38$ $20 \ -62 \ 22$ 908 908 4.24 $21 \ -56 \ -32 \ -2$ Left MTG Right IPLBA 40 BA 40 $46 \ -40 \ 40$ 429 3.24	Right IPL	BA 40	38 - 48 28		
Right STGBA 22 $48 - 44$ Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 2654$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 2842$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 1450$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 44838 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 6222$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 4040$ 429 3.24	Right inferior frontal gyrus	BA 46	$48\ 24\ -8$	1099	4.49
Centromedial amygdalaSupplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Right STG	BA 22	48 - 44		
Supplementary motor areaBA 6 $16 - 26 54$ 644 5.91 left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Centromedial amygdala				
left ITGBA 20 $60 - 46 - 24$ 634 5.62 Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Supplementary motor area	BA 6	16 - 26 54	644	5.91
Associative visual cortexBA 19 $22 - 66 - 14$ 885 4.47 Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA $31/BA 24$ $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	left ITG	BA 20	60 - 46 - 24	634	5.62
Right angular gyrusBA 39 $46 - 28 42$ 441 3.96 Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 427 3.72 Periaqueductal grayDorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 9 $4 48 38$ 5429 4.41 PrecuneusBA 31 $20 - 62 22$ 908 4.24 Cerebellum— $14 - 58 - 34$ 725 4.15 Left MTGBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 506 4.03 Right IPLBA 40 $46 - 40 40$ 429 3.24	Associative visual cortex	BA 19	22 - 66 - 14	885	4.47
Precuneus/cingulate gyrusBA 31/BA 24 $-10 - 14 50$ 4273.72Periaqueductal gray Dorsolateral prefrontal cortexBA 94 48 3854294.41Precuneus CerebellumBA 3120 - 62 229084.24Left MTG Right IPLBA 21 $-56 - 32 - 2$ 5064.03Right IPLBA 4046 - 40 404293.24	Right angular gyrus	BA 39	46 - 28 42	441	3.96
Periaqueductal gray Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex BA 9 4 48 38 5429 4.41 Precuneus BA 31 20 - 62 22 908 4.24 Cerebellum — 14 - 58 - 34 725 4.15 Left MTG BA 21 -56 - 32 - 2 506 4.03 Right IPL BA 40 46 - 40 40 429 3.24	Precuneus/cingulate gyrus	BA 31/BA 24	-10 - 14 50	427	3.72
Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex BA 9 4 48 38 5429 4.41 Precuneus BA 31 20 -62 22 908 4.24 Cerebellum — 14 -58 -34 725 4.15 Left MTG BA 21 -56 -32 -2 506 4.03 Right IPL BA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Periaqueductal grav				
Precuneus BA 31 20 -62 22 908 4.24 Cerebellum - 14 -58 -34 725 4.15 Left MTG BA 21 -56 -32 -2 506 4.03 Right IPL BA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	BA 9	4 48 38	5429	4.41
Cerebellum — 14 -58 -34 725 4.15 Left MTG BA 21 -56 -32 -2 506 4.03 Right IPL BA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Precuneus	BA 31	20 - 62 22	908	4.24
Left MTG BA 21 -56 -32 -2 506 4.03 Right IPL BA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Cerebellum		14 - 58 - 34	725	4.15
Right IPL BA 40 46 -40 40 429 3.24	Left MTG	BA 21	-56 - 32 - 2	506	4.03
	Right IPL	BA 40	46 -40 40	429	3.24

Opposite contrast was not significant.

ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; FWE, family-wise error; IPL, inferior parietal lobule; ITG, inferior temporal gyrus; MTG, middle temporal gyrus; PHG, parahippocampal gyrus; STG, superior temporal gyrus.

enhanced thalamo-cortical connections, specifically in the anterior prefrontal and superior parietal cortices, support the existence of a fronto-parietal network that compensates for the deficits associated with anxiety disorders, as previously illustrated by Etkin et al. (2009). Finally, hyper-connectivity of the bilateral PHG in SAD is particularly noteworthy because PHG has been reported as a major hub in the medial temporal lobe, in association with the DMN (Ward et al., 2013).

Functional imaging of the amygdala is challenging because of the vulnerability to susceptibility-based geometric distortion in EPI, which worsens with thicker slices because of through-plane dephasing. Smaller voxels are recommended to enhance the BOLD CNR while imaging the amygdala (Robinson et al., 2008), but majority of previous studies have employed low-resolution EPI. Also, the amygdala is not a homogenous structure as typically depicted in seed-based functional connectivity studies. We investigated centromedial amygdala as a seed region because the intrinsic activity in this region has been reported to be a predictor of that in striatum (Roy et al., 2009). Similar to the results from striatum seeds (specifically, the putamen), enhanced connectivity in supplementary motor regions in the SAD>HC contrast with the centromedial amygdala seed re-iterates the "motor readiness" in SAD. Previous fcMRI studies (Ding et al., 2011; Hahn et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2010a, 2010b; Prater et al., 2013) have not provided adequate consideration to the functional heterogeneity of amygdala and have reported reduced functional connectivity in SAD. Low-resolution $(3.75 \times 3.75 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3)$ EPI employed in these studies could be partly attributed to the mixed findings because of the dominance of physiological noise to resting-state timeseries at bigger voxel volumes. Combination of ultra-high field strength (7 T), high resolution imaging $(1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ in-plane})$, and multichannel arrays (32Ch head coil) would be beneficial to provide improved time-series SNR from the amygdala in future fMRI studies as recently demonstrated (Sladky et al., 2013).

Centromedial amygdala has reciprocal connections with the periaqueductal gray (Rizvi et al., 1991), which is another mid-brain region that has been overlooked in previous studies on SAD, although previous studies have highlighted its role in emotion processing (Wager et al., 2009). Panic induction followed by deep brain stimulation of the periaqueductal gray has been demonstrated in animal models (Moers-Hornikx et al., 2011), but the fcMRI literature on this region is limited because of methodological grounds such as lack of coil sensitivity. Most of the regions that were significantly enhanced in the SAD > HC contrast with the periaqueductal gray seed overlap with the DMN.

Our results highlight the synergy of utilizing multichannel array coils and high resolution in deciphering the restingstate BOLD fluctuations, particularly from subcortical regions, such as basal ganglia and the periaqueductal gray, in the context of SAD.

A limitation to this study is that 4 of our 17 SAD patients had co-morbid depression and 4 had a co-morbid anxiety disorder.

Conclusions

We provide evidence for significant hyper-connectivity in the SAD group as compared with controls in all the subcortical regions explored in this study. In addition, we provide several novel findings, including alterations in regions that are known to be involved in emotional processing, but have not been reported in the realm of resting-state fcMRI. Significantly enhanced seed-based functional connectivity of the globus pallidus and the periaqueductal gray with precuneus in the patient group is particularly interesting as it brings midbrain regions to the forefront of understanding the neuronal mechanism of SAD. More studies that utilize a synergistic combination of multichannel array coils and high-resolution EPI are needed to validate these findings, which could provide better understanding of the pathophysiology of this disorder.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Athinoula A. Martinos Imaging Center at McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology for funding.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. 2000. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition.-TR. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Anteraper SA, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Keil B, Shannon S, Gabrieli JD, Triantafyllou C. 2013. Exploring functional connectivity networks with multichannel brain array coils. Brain Connect 3:302–315.
- Arsalidou M, Duerden EG, Taylor MJ. 2013. The centre of the brain: Topographical model of motor, cognitive, affective,

and somatosensory functions of the basal ganglia. Hum Brain Mapp 34:3031–3054.

- Ashburner J, Friston KJ. 2005. Unified segmentation. Neuroimage 26:839–851.
- Ball TM, Sullivan S, Flagan T, Hitchcock CA, Simmons A, Paulus MP, Stein MB. 2012. Selective effects of social anxiety, anxiety sensitivity, and negative affectivity on the neural bases of emotional face processing. Neuroimage 59:1879– 1887.
- Behzadi Y, Restom K, Liau J, Liu TT. 2007. A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. NeuroImage 37:90–101.
- Biswal B, Yetkin FZ, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. 1995. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magn Reson Med 34:537–541.
- Chai XJ, Castanon AN, Ongur D, Whitfield-Gabrieli S. 2012. Anticorrelations in resting state networks without global signal regression. Neuroimage 59:1420–1428.
- Davis M. 1997. Neurobiology of fear responses: the role of the amygdala. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 9:382–402.
- Di Martino A, Scheres A, Margulies DS, Kelly AM, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, Biswal B, Walters JR, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. 2008. Functional connectivity of human striatum: a resting state FMRI study. Cereb Cortex 18:2735–2747.
- Ding J, Chen H, Qiu C, Liao W, Warwick JM, Duan X, Zhang W, Gong Q. 2011. Disrupted functional connectivity in social anxiety disorder: a resting-state fMRI study. Magn Reson Imaging 29:701–711.
- Eickhoff SB, Stephan KE, Mohlberg H, Grefkes C, Fink GR, Amunts K, Zilles K. 2005. A new SPM toolbox for combining probabilistic cytoarchitectonic maps and functional imaging data. Neuroimage 25:1325–1335.
- Etkin A, Prater KE, Schatzberg AF, Menon V, Greicius MD. 2009. Disrupted amygdalar subregion functional connectivity and evidence of a compensatory network in generalized anxiety disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 66: 1361–1372.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. 1995. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorder-Patient Edition (SCID-I/P). Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York.
- Freitas-Ferrari MC, Hallak JE, Trzesniak C, Filho AS, Machadode-Sousa JP, Chagas MH, Nardi AE, Crippa JA. 2010. Neuroimaging in social anxiety disorder: a systematic review of the literature. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 34:565–580.
- Frick A, Howner K, Fischer H, Eskildsen SF, Kristiansson M, Furmark T. 2013. Cortical thickness alterations in social anxiety disorder. Neurosci Lett 536:52–55.
- Friston KJ. 2007. Statistical Parametric Mapping: The Analysis of Funtional Brain Images. Amsterdam; Boston: Elsevier/ Academic Press.
- Gimenez M, Pujol J, Ortiz H, Soriano-Mas C, Lopez-Sola M, Farre M, Deus J, Merlo-Pich E, Martin-Santos R. 2012. Altered brain functional connectivity in relation to perception of scrutiny in social anxiety disorder. Psychiatry Res 202: 214–223.
- Goldin P, Ziv M, Jazaieri H, Gross JJ. 2012. Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction versus aerobic exercise: effects on the self-referential brain network in social anxiety disorder. Front Hum Neurosci 6:295.
- Hahn A, Stein P, Windischberger C, Weissenbacher A, Spindelegger C, Moser E, Kasper S, Lanzenberger R. 2011. Reduced

resting-state functional connectivity between amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex in social anxiety disorder. Neuroimage 56:881–889.

- Hasler G, Fromm S, Alvarez RP, Luckenbaugh DA, Drevets WC, Grillon C. 2007. Cerebral blood flow in immediate and sustained anxiety. J Neurosci 27:6313–6319.
- Hattingh CJ, Ipser J, Tromp SA, Syal S, Lochner C, Brooks SJ, Stein DJ. 2012. Functional magnetic resonance imaging during emotion recognition in social anxiety disorder: an activation likelihood meta-analysis. Front Hum Neurosci 6:347.
- Jones DT, Mateen FJ, Lucchinetti CF, Jack CR, Jr., Welker KM. 2011. Default mode network disruption secondary to a lesion in the anterior thalamus. Arch Neurol 68:242–247.
- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. 2005. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen psychiatry 62:617–627.
- Lauterbach EC, Spears TE, Prewett MJ, Price ST, Jackson JG, Kirsh AD. 1994. Neuropsychiatric disorders, myoclonus, and dystonia in calcification of basal ganglia pathways. Biol Psychiatry 35:345–351.
- Liao W, Chen H, Feng Y, Mantini D, Gentili C, Pan Z, Ding J, Duan X, Qiu C, Lui S, et al. 2010a. Selective aberrant functional connectivity of resting state networks in social anxiety disorder. Neuroimage 52:1549–1558.
- Liao W, Qiu CJ, Gentili C, Walter M, Pan ZY, Ding JR, Zhang W, Gong QY, Chen HF. 2010b. Altered effective connectivity network of the amygdala in social anxiety disorder: a resting-state fMRI study. PLoS One 5:e15238.
- Liao W, Xu Q, Mantini D, Ding JR, Machado-de-Sousa JP, Hallak JEC, Trzesniak C, Qiu CJ, Zeng L, Zhang W, et al. 2011. Altered gray matter morphometry and resting-state functional and structural connectivity in social anxiety disorder. Brain Res 1388:167–177.
- Liebowitz MR. 1987. Social phobia. Mod Probl Pharmacopsychiatry 22:141–173.
- Ligot N, Krystkowiak P, Simonin C, Goldman S, Peigneux P, Van Naemen J, Monclus M, Lacroix SF, Devos D, Dujardin K, et al. 2011. External globus pallidus stimulation modulates brain connectivity in Huntington's disease. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 31:41–46.
- Linnman C, Moulton EA, Barmettler G, Becerra L, Borsook D. 2012. Neuroimaging of the periaqueductal gray: state of the field. Neuroimage 60:505–522.
- Lorberbaum JP, Kose S, Johnson MR, Arana GW, Sullivan LK, Hamner MB, Ballenger JC, Lydiard RB, Brodrick PS, Bohning DE, et al. 2004. Neural correlates of speech anticipatory anxiety in generalized social phobia. Neuroreport 15:2701– 2705.
- Maldjian JA, Laurienti PJ, Burdette JH. 2004. Precentral gyrus discrepancy in electronic versions of the Talairach atlas. NeuroImage 21:450–455.
- Maldjian JA, Laurienti PJ, Kraft RA, Burdette JH. 2003. An automated method for neuroanatomic and cytoarchitectonic atlas-based interrogation of fMRI data sets. NeuroImage 19:1233–1239.
- Marchand WR. 2010. Cortico-basal ganglia circuitry: a review of key research and implications for functional connectivity studies of mood and anxiety disorders. Brain Struct Funct 215:73–96.
- Marchand WR, Lee JN, Thatcher JW, Thatcher GW, Jensen C, Starr J. 2007. Motor deactivation in the human cortex and basal ganglia. Neuroimage 38:538–548.

- Moers-Hornikx VM, Vles JS, Lim LW, Ayyildiz M, Kaplan S, Gavilanes AW, Hoogland G, Steinbusch HW, Temel Y. 2011. Periaqueductal grey stimulation induced panic-like behaviour is accompanied by deactivation of the deep cerebellar nuclei. Cerebellum 10:61–69.
- Pannekoek JN, Veer IM, van Tol MJ, van der Werff SJ, Demenescu LR, Aleman A, Veltman DJ, Zitman FG, Rombouts SA, van der Wee NJ. 2012. Resting-state functional connectivity abnormalities in limbic and salience networks in social anxiety disorder without comorbidity. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 23:186–195.
- Perez-Edgar K, Hardee JE, Guyer AE, Benson BE, Nelson EE, Gorodetsky E, Goldman D, Fox NA, Pine DS, Ernst M. 2013. DRD4 and striatal modulation of the link between childhood behavioral inhibition and adolescent anxiety. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci [Epub ahead of print] DOI: 10.1093/ scan/nst001
- Phan KL, Fitzgerald DA, Nathan PJ, Tancer ME. 2006. Association between amygdala hyperactivity to harsh faces and severity of social anxiety in generalized social phobia. Biol Psychiatry 59:424–429.
- Prater KE, Hosanagar A, Klumpp H, Angstadt M, Luan Phan K. 2013. Aberrant amygdala-frontal cortex connectivity during perception of fearful faces and at rest in generalized social anxiety disorder. Depress Anxiety 30:234–241.
- Qiu C, Liao W, Ding J, Feng Y, Zhu C, Nie X, Zhang W, Chen H, Gong Q. 2011. Regional homogeneity changes in social anxiety disorder: a resting-state fMRI study. Psychiatry Res 194:47–53.
- Rizvi TA, Ennis M, Behbehani MM, Shipley MT. 1991. Connections between the central nucleus of the amygdala and the midbrain periaqueductal gray: topography and reciprocity. J Comp Neurol 303:121–131.
- Robinson SD, Pripfl J, Bauer H, Moser E. 2008. The impact of EPI voxel size on SNR and BOLD sensitivity in the anterior medio-temporal lobe: a comparative group study of deactivation of the default mode. Magma 21:279–290.
- Roy AK, Shehzad Z, Margulies DS, Kelly AMC, Uddin LQ, Gotimer K, Biswal BB, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. 2009. Functional connectivity of the human amygdala using resting state fMRI. Neuroimage 45:614–626.
- Seeley WW, Menon V, Schatzberg AF, Keller J, Glover GH, Kenna H, Reiss AL, Greicius MD. 2007. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. J Neurosci 27:2349–2356.
- Sheline YI, Price JL, Yan Z, Mintun MA. 2010. Resting-state functional MRI in depression unmasks increased connectivity between networks via the dorsal nexus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:11020–11025.
- Sladky R, Baldinger P, Kranz GS, Trostl J, Hoflich A, Lanzenberger R, Moser E, Windischberger C. 2013. High-resolution functional MRI of the human amygdala at 7 T. Eur J Radiol 82:728–733.
- Sladky R, Hoflich A, Atanelov J, Kraus C, Baldinger P, Moser E, Lanzenberger R, Windischberger C. 2012. Increased neural habituation in the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex in social anxiety disorder revealed by FMRI. PLoS One 7:e50050.
- Triantafyllou C, Hoge RD, Krueger G, Wiggins CJ, Potthast A, Wiggins GC, Wald LL. 2005. Comparison of physiological noise at 1.5 T, 3 T and 7 T and optimization of fMRI acquisition parameters. Neuroimage 26:243–250.
- Triantafyllou C, Polimeni JR, Wald LL. 2011. Physiological noise and signal-to-noise ratio in fMRI with multi-channel array coils. NeuroImage 55:597–606.

- van der Wee NJ, van Veen JF, Stevens H, van Vliet IM, van Rijk PP, Westenberg HG. 2008. Increased serotonin and dopamine transporter binding in psychotropic medication-naive patients with generalized social anxiety disorder shown by 123I-beta-(4-iodophenyl)-tropane SPECT. J Nucl Med 49: 757–763.
- Veit R, Flor H, Erb M, Hermann C, Lotze M, Grodd W, Birbaumer N. 2002. Brain circuits involved in emotional learning in antisocial behavior and social phobia in humans. Neurosci Lett 328:233–236.
- Wager TD, van Ast VA, Hughes BL, Davidson ML, Lindquist MA, Ochsner KN. 2009. Brain mediators of cardiovascular responses to social threat, Part II: Prefrontal-subcortical pathways and relationship with anxiety. Neuroimage 47:836–851.
- Ward AM, Schultz AP, Huijbers W, Van Dijk KR, Hedden T, Sperling RA. 2013. The parahippocampal gyrus links the default-mode cortical network with the medial temporal lobe memory system. Hum Brain Mapp [Epub ahead of print]; DOI: 10.1002/hbm.22234.
- Warwick JM, Carey PD, Cassimjee N, Lochner C, Hemmings S, Moolman-Smook H, Beetge E, Dupont P, Stein DJ. 2012.

Dopamine transporter binding in social anxiety disorder: the effect of treatment with escitalopram. Metab Brain Dis 27:151–158.

- Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Nieto Castanon A. 2012. Conn: A functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. Brain Connec 2:125–141.
- Zhang D, Raichle ME. 2010. Disease and the brain's dark energy. Nat Rev Neurol 6:15–28.

Address correspondence to: Sheeba Arnold Anteraper A.A. Martinos Imaging Center McGovern Institute for Brain Research Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Building 46 Room 46-1171 Cambridge, MA 02139

E-mail: sheeba@mit.edu

This article has been cited by:

- Noah S. Philip, Jennifer Barredo, Mascha van 't Wout-Frank, Audrey R. Tyrka, Lawrence H. Price, Linda L. Carpenter. 2018. Network Mechanisms of Clinical Response to Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Major Depressive Disorder. *Biological Psychiatry* 83:3, 263-272. [Crossref]
- 2. Minlan Yuan, Hongru Zhu, Changjian Qiu, Yajing Meng, Yan Zhang, Zhengjia Ren, Yuchen Li, Cui Yuan, Meng Gao, Su Lui, Qiyong Gong, Wei Zhang. 2018. Altered regional and integrated resting-state brain activity in general social anxiety disorder patients before and after group cognitive behavior therapy. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 272, 30-37. [Crossref]
- 3. Claudia B. Padula, Alicia B. Vanden Bussche, Leanne M. Williams. Mood Disorders 199-223. [Crossref]
- 4. Xun Yang, Jin Liu, Yajing Meng, Mingrui Xia, Zaixu Cui, Xi Wu, Xinyu Hu, Wei Zhang, Gaolang Gong, Qiyong Gong, John A. Sweeney, Yong He. 2017. Network analysis reveals disrupted functional brain circuitry in drug-naive social anxiety disorder. *NeuroImage*. [Crossref]
- 5. Andreia Carvalho Pereira, Inês R. Violante, Susana Mouga, Guiomar Oliveira, Miguel Castelo-Branco. 2017. Medial Frontal Lobe Neurochemistry in Autism Spectrum Disorder is Marked by Reduced N-Acetylaspartate and Unchanged Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid and Glutamate + Glutamine Levels. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 7. [Crossref]
- 6. Alex Doruyter, Patrick Dupont, Lian Taljaard, Dan J. Stein, Christine Lochner, James M. Warwick. 2017. Resting regional brain metabolism in social anxiety disorder and the effect of moclobemide therapy. *Metabolic Brain Disease* 4. [Crossref]
- 7. Sabrina K. Syan, Luciano Minuzzi, Dustin Costescu, Mara Smith, Olivia R. Allega, Marg Coote, Geoffrey B.C. Hall, Benicio N. Frey. 2017. Influence of endogenous estradiol, progesterone, allopregnanolone, and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate on brain resting state functional connectivity across the menstrual cycle. *Fertility and Sterility* **107**:5, 1246-1255.e4. [Crossref]
- 8. Dirk Adolph, Jürgen Margraf. 2017. The differential relationship between trait anxiety, depression, and resting frontal αasymmetry. *Journal of Neural Transmission* 124:3, 379-386. [Crossref]
- 9. Martin Göttlich, Zheng Ye, Antoni Rodriguez-Fornells, Thomas F. Münte, Ulrike M. Krämer. 2017. Viewing socio-affective stimuli increases connectivity within an extended default mode network. *NeuroImage* **148**, 8-19. [Crossref]
- 10. Leanne M Williams. 2017. Defining biotypes for depression and anxiety based on large-scale circuit dysfunction: a theoretical review of the evidence and future directions for clinical translation. *Depression and Anxiety* 34:1, 9-24. [Crossref]
- Mengqi Xing, Reza Tadayonnejad, Annmarie MacNamara, Olusola Ajilore, Julia DiGangi, K. Luan Phan, Alex Leow, Heide Klumpp. 2017. Resting-state theta band connectivity and graph analysis in generalized social anxiety disorder. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 13, 24-32. [Crossref]
- 12. Hans S. Schroder, James E. Glazer, Ken P. Bennett, Tim P. Moran, Jason S. Moser. 2017. Suppression of error-preceding brain activity explains exaggerated error monitoring in females with worry. *Biological Psychology* **122**, 33-41. [Crossref]
- 13. Paul B. Sharp, Eva H. Telzer. 2017. Structural connectomics of anxious arousal in early adolescence: Translating clinical and ethological findings. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 16, 604-609. [Crossref]
- 14. Janna Marie Bas-Hoogendam, Henk van Steenbergen, J. Nienke Pannekoek, Jean-Paul Fouche, Christine Lochner, Coenraad J. Hattingh, Henk R. Cremers, Tomas Furmark, Kristoffer N.T. Månsson, Andreas Frick, Jonas Engman, Carl-Johan Boraxbekk, Per Carlbring, Gerhard Andersson, Mats Fredrikson, Thomas Straube, Jutta Peterburs, Heide Klumpp, K. Luan Phan, Karin Roelofs, Dick J. Veltman, Marie-José van Tol, Dan J. Stein, Nic J.A. van der Wee. 2017. Voxel-based morphometry multi-center mega-analysis of brain structure in social anxiety disorder. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 16, 678-688. [Crossref]
- Janna Marie Bas-Hoogendam, Jennifer U. Blackford, Annette B. Brühl, Karina S. Blair, Nic J.A. van der Wee, P. Michiel Westenberg. 2016. Neurobiological candidate endophenotypes of social anxiety disorder. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 71, 362-378. [Crossref]
- 16. Jan Haaker, Mareike M Menz, Tahmine Fadai, Falk Eippert, Christian Büchel. 2016. Dopaminergic receptor blockade changes a functional connectivity network centred on the amygdala. *Human Brain Mapping* **37**:11, 4148-4157. [Crossref]
- 17. Reza Tadayonnejad, Heide Klumpp, Olusola Ajilore, Alex Leow, Kinh Luan Phan. 2016. Aberrant pulvinar effective connectivity in generalized social anxiety disorder. *Medicine* **95**:45, e5358. [Crossref]
- 18. N. M. L. Wong, H.-L. Liu, C. Lin, C.-M. Huang, Y.-Y. Wai, S.-H. Lee, T. M. C. Lee. 2016. Loneliness in late-life depression: structural and functional connectivity during affective processing. *Psychological Medicine* **46**:12, 2485-2499. [Crossref]
- Meghan D. Caulfield, David C. Zhu, J. Devin McAuley, Richard J. Servatius. 2016. Individual differences in resting-state functional connectivity with the executive network: support for a cerebellar role in anxiety vulnerability. *Brain Structure and Function* 221:6, 3081-3093. [Crossref]

- 20. Henk R. Cremers, Karin Roelofs. 2016. Social anxiety disorder: a critical overview of neurocognitive research. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science 7:4, 218-232. [Crossref]
- 21. Jianping Hu, Dianne Lee, Sien Hu, Sheng Zhang, Herta Chao, Chiang-shan R. Li. 2016. Individual variation in the neural processes of motor decisions in the stop signal task: the influence of novelty seeking and harm avoidance personality traits. *Brain Structure and Function* 221:5, 2607-2618. [Crossref]
- 22. Leanne M Williams. 2016. Precision psychiatry: a neural circuit taxonomy for depression and anxiety. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 3:5, 472-480. [Crossref]
- 23. S Whitfield-Gabrieli, S S Ghosh, A Nieto-Castanon, Z Saygin, O Doehrmann, X J Chai, G O Reynolds, S G Hofmann, M H Pollack, J D E Gabrieli. 2016. Brain connectomics predict response to treatment in social anxiety disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry* 21:5, 680-685. [Crossref]
- 24. Annmarie MacNamara, Julia DiGangi, K. Luan Phan. 2016. Aberrant Spontaneous and Task-Dependent Functional Connections in the Anxious Brain. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 1:3, 278-287. [Crossref]
- 25. Alexander Doruyter, Christine Lochner, Gerhard P. Jordaan, Dan J. Stein, Patrick Dupont, James M. Warwick. 2016. Resting functional connectivity in social anxiety disorder and the effect of pharmacotherapy. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 251, 34-44. [Crossref]
- 26. Julia Dorfman, Brenda Benson, Madeline Farber, Daniel Pine, Monique Ernst. 2016. Altered striatal intrinsic functional connectivity in pediatric anxiety. *Neuropsychologia* **85**, 159-168. [Crossref]
- Claudio Gentili, Ioana Alina Cristea, Mike Angstadt, Heide Klumpp, Leonardo Tozzi, K Luan Phan, Pietro Pietrini. 2016. Beyond emotions: A meta-analysis of neural response within face processing system in social anxiety. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 241:3, 225-237. [Crossref]
- Maximilian J. Geiger, Katharina Domschke, Jonathan Ipser, Coenie Hattingh, David S. Baldwin, Christine Lochner, Dan J. Stein. 2016. Altered executive control network resting-state connectivity in social anxiety disorder. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry* 17:1, 47-57. [Crossref]
- Weina Ding, Wenwei Cao, Yao Wang, Yawen Sun, Xue Chen, Yan Zhou, Qun Xu, Jianrong Xu. 2015. Altered Functional Connectivity in Patients with Subcortical Vascular Cognitive Impairment—A Resting-State Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. *PLOS ONE* 10:9, e0138180. [Crossref]
- 30. C. Patrick Pflanz, Abbie Pringle, Nicola Filippini, Matthew Warren, Julia Gottwald, Phil J. Cowen, Catherine J. Harmer. 2015. Effects of seven-day diazepam administration on resting-state functional connectivity in healthy volunteers: a randomized, doubleblind study. *Psychopharmacology* 232:12, 2139-2147. [Crossref]
- Fleur M. Howells, Coenraad J. Hattingh, Supriya Syal, Elsie Breet, Dan J. Stein, Christine Lochner. 2015. 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy in social anxiety disorder. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry* 58, 97-104. [Crossref]
- 32. Ardesheer Talati, Spiro P. Pantazatos, Joy Hirsch, Franklin Schneier. 2015. A pilot study of gray matter volume changes associated with paroxetine treatment and response in social anxiety disorder. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 231:3, 279-285. [Crossref]
- 33. Annette Beatrix Brühl, Aba Delsignore, Katja Komossa, Steffi Weidt. 2014. Neuroimaging in social anxiety disorder—A metaanalytic review resulting in a new neurofunctional model. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 47, 260-280. [Crossref]
- 34. Stefan G. Hofmann. 2014. Toward a Cognitive-Behavioral Classification System for Mental Disorders. *Behavior Therapy* 45:4, 576-587. [Crossref]